

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10 SAN JOSE DIVISION  
11

12 DORA SAMANO,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 TARGET CORPORATION, et al.,

16 Defendants.  
17

Case No.: C 10-5285 PSG

**ORDER CONTINUING CASE  
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND  
SETTING DEADLINE FOR  
PLAINTIFF EITHER TO FILE  
WRITTEN CONSENT TO THE  
JURISDICTION OF THE  
MAGISTRATE JUDGE OR TO  
REQUEST REASSIGNMENT TO A  
DISTRICT JUDGE**

18 The initial case management conference in this case is set for January 25, 2011, and the  
19 deadline for filing a joint case management statement was January 18, 2011. *See* ADR Scheduling  
20 Order. As a result, the parties were required either to file written consent to the jurisdiction of the  
21 magistrate judge or to request reassignment to a district judge, no later than January 18, 2011. *See*  
22 Civ. L. R. 73-1(a)(1). Defendant Target Corporation consented to magistrate judge jurisdiction on  
23 December 2, 2010. *See* Consent to Proceed Before a U.S. Magistrate Judge by Target and Joint Case  
24 Management Statement. Plaintiff has neither consented nor requested reassignment. Therefore,

25 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that no later than January 27, 2011, Plaintiff shall either file  
26 written consent to the jurisdiction of the magistrate judge or request reassignment to a district  
27 judge.

28 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the case management conference is continued to

ORDER

1 February 1, 2011 at 2 p.m.

2 Dated: January 20, 2011

3   
4 PAUL S. GREWAL  
United States Magistrate Judge

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ORDER